

Evidence, and 'evidence' on the benefits of central bank independence.

James Forder

The first problem: What is evidential support for independence?

- the absence of political business cycles in countries with independent central banks (Alesina, Cohen and Roubini (1992; Alesina and Roubini (1992): West Germany had PCB, most did not)
- superior performance where policy is rule governed: Friedman (1972))
- more credible policy where central banks are independent. Posen (1998) finds high sacrifice ratios in counties with independent central banks
- lower inflation where central banks are independent

The second problem: How to measure independence

- the statute reading methodology
 - o Alesina (1988) drawing on Parkin and Bade (1978), Grilli, Masciandaro and Tabelini (1991), and most of Cukierman, Webb and Neyapti (1992)
 - o Very influential, e.g. in the press (Forder (1998) p. 307
 - o Are they serious? This is supposed to be *economics*. It is the incentives, not the rule book that matter. If we take the argument seriously, we take these things seriously too:
 - Statutory independence and actual independence
 - is policy set on the golf course?
 - Actual independence and the use of power
 - When the bank sets policy, maintaining its independence may be one of its goals
 - The use of power to affect the non-monetary determinants of inflation (ssshh: to rig an election)

The third problem

- a variety of measures, 'all showing the same thing'
 - o different approaches to measurement deliver different *measures*. What is the concept of 'independence' to which the 'test' relates?
 - o To which definition do the newly 'independent' banks conform, and what is the basis for supposing the benefits are demonstrated?

The fourth problem

- implementation of the measures has left something to be desired
 - o Emerson, Gros et al. (1992) amended their data without explanation, not that it was much good, having already been varied
 - o Cukierman, Webb and Neyapti (1992) treat Greece and Portugal as 'developing'
 - o Mangano (1998) tears the literature apart
 - o Alesina (1988) considered 'political' and 'financial' independence and observed the first 'appeared more relevant', so he 'emphasised' it. (p. 41)

And Issing (1996) called the inflation-reducing power of independence an 'established finding of our discipline'!

Unconsidered matters

- The co-ordination of policy instruments
 - o Fiscal policy (not quite unconsidered: e.g. Doyle and Weale (1994))

- The American influence in theoretical thinking?
 - Government solvency (e.g. Sargent and Wallace (1981))
 - Trade policy
- Specifying the policy objective
 - Inflation targeting and asset prices
 - The crash and inflation targets
- The democracy issue
 - Careful now. What is the point of democracy?
 - Policy setting or leader selection? Schumpeter (1943), and in a modern setting: Forder (2011).

Understanding the adoption of central bank independence

Britain is an interesting case... pretty well the last

Policy targets and central bank independence

- the era of 'discretion'
 - fiscal management in the UK
 - occasional fiscal intervention in the US
- monetarism and monetary targeting
 - Restarted by Friedman (1956) – Keynesian or anti-Keynesian?
 - $MV=PT$
 - Stability of V, stability of T, direction of causation
 - All problematic
 - Money targeting failed in the 1980s. Well, it failed to meet the targets
- New Zealand CBI 1987
 - 'policy targets agreement'
- Britain and the European Monetary System, 1990-1992
- 'Independence' a condition of joining the euro 1993; the planned ECB was independent in a fanatically-designed way
 - it is hard for it not to be 'independent', but like *that*?
- 'Inflation targeting' as a replacement for EMS
- The Ken and Eddie Show before 1997 election
- Surprise move by Labour government 1997

What went wrong?

- plenty.
- An intellectual debacle of giant proportions. Go ahead and quote me.
 - The case for central bank independence as it was made in the academic economic literature was a professional disgrace
- The European Monetary Union ('nough said)
- Central bank independence and the crash
 - Complacency of 'the great moderation'
 - 'the most stable macroeconomic environment in recorded UK history' (Bank of England (2007), quoting Benati (2006) (a BoE working paper))
 - A kind of Lucas critique incorporating the 'Greenspan put'
 - Beware not-quite rational expectations

How did that happen?

- Cui bono? Well, who does benefit from central bank independence? Central bankers, perhaps politicians who can blame-shift, and, let's see ... who wants a job on the MPC? If you can't work it out try Forder (2005).

Most of the arguments here drifted into my head while I was writing my DPhil and I wrote them up in Forder (1996), Forder (1998) and Forder (1999)

- Alesina, A. (1988). "Macroeconomics and Politics." NBER Macroeconomics Annual: 13-52
- Alesina, A., G. Cohen and N. Roubini (1992) Evidence on political business and monetary cycles *in* Political Economy, Growth and Business Cycles ed A. Cukierman, Z. Heskovitz and L. Leiderman Cambridge, MA MIT. SSL: HD75.CUK.
- Alesina, A. and N. Roubini (1992). "Political Cycles in OECD Economies." Review of Economic Studies **59** (4): 663-689
- Bank of England (2007). "Treasury Committee inquiry into the monetary policy committee of the Bank of England: Ten years on."
<http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/other/treasurycommittee/mpc/tsc070219.pdf>.
- Benati, L. (2006). "UK monetary regimes and stylised macroeconomic facts."
Bank of England working paper
- Cukierman, A., S. B. Webb and B. Neyapti (1992). "Measuring the independence of central banks and its effect on policy outcomes." World Bank Economic Review **6**: 353-398
- Doyle, C. and M. Weale (1994). "Do we really want an independent central bank?" Oxford Review of Economic Policy **10** (3): 61-77
- Emerson, M., D. Gros, A. Italianer, J. Pisani-Ferry and H. Reichenbach (1992). One Market, One Money. Oxford, OUP.
- Forder, J. (1996). "On the assessment and implementation of 'institutional' remedies." Oxford Economic Papers **48** (1): 39-51
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2663623>
- Forder, J. (1998). "The case for an independent European central bank: A reassessment of evidence and sources." European Journal of Political Economy **14** (1): 53-71 <http://tinyurl.com/JF98ECB>.
- Forder, J. (1998). "Central bank independence - conceptual clarifications and interim assessment." Oxford Economic Papers **50** (3): 307-334
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3488575>.
- Forder, J. (1999). "Central bank independence - reassessing the measures." Journal of Economic Issues **XXXIII** (1): 23-40
- Forder, J. (2005). "Why is central bank independence so widely approved?" Journal of Economic Issues **39** (4): 843-865 <http://tinyurl.com/h7cz5ee>.
- Forder, J. (2011). The case against voting reform. Oxford, Oneworld Books.
- Friedman, M. (1956) The Quantity Theory of Money - A Restatement *in* Studies in the Quantity Theory of Money ed M. Friedman Chicago University of Chicago 3-21. Bal:0775 c 037; Soc:HG201.STU.
- Friedman, M. (1972). "Monetary policy." Proceedings of the American philosophical society **116** (3): 183-196
- Grilli, V., D. Masciandaro and G. Tabelini (1991). "Political and monetary institutions and public financial policies in the industrial countries." Economic Policy **13** (13): 342-392

- Issing, O. (1996) Comment on Charles Freedman 'What operating procedures should be adopted to maintain price stability?' in Achieving Price Stability: Symposium at the FRB of Kansas City, Aug 29-31, 1996 ed T. M. Hoenig Kansas City Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City 287-296.
<http://www.kc.frb.org/PUBLICAT/SYMPOS/1996/Sym96prg.htm>.
- Mangano, G. (1998). "Measuring central bank independence: A tale of subjectivity and of its consequences." Oxford Economic Papers **50** (3): 468-492
- Parkin, M. and R. Bade (1978) Central-bank laws and monetary policies: A preliminary investigation in The Australian monetary system in the 1970s ed M. G. Porter Clayton, Victoria Monash University.
- Posen, A. (1998). "Central bank independence and disinflationary credibility: A missing link?" Oxford Economic Papers **50**: 335-359
- Sargent, T. J. and N. Wallace (1981). "Some unpleasant monetarist arithmetic." Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Review **5** (3): 1-17
<http://minneapolisfed.org/research/qr/qr531.pdf>.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1943). Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy. London, George Allen & Unwin. BAL: 0793 a 036; SSL: HX40.SCH (both 4rd ed); Saïd: HX86 SCH 1976; Union: 335 SCH (both 5th ed) eBook via Solo.